Original Research Paper

EFFECT OF BIOCHAR AND SILICON WITH DIFFERENT PHOSPHORUS LEVELS ON MAIZE YIELD AND SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Muhammad Wasil Bin Abu Bakar*, M. K. Uddin[†]*, Susilawati Kasim*, Syaharudin Zaibon*,S. M. Shamsuzzaman** and A. N. A. Haque*

*Soil Science Faculty of Agriculture, University Putra Malaysia, 43300, Seri Kembangan, Selangor, Malaysia **Soil Resources Development Institute, Dhaka, Bangladesh Corresponding author: M. K. Uddin; mkuddin@upm.edu.my

Abstract: Silicon fertilizer combine with biochar improved the utilization of Phosphorus fertilization application. The experiment was carried out with eight treatment combination with varying proportions of rice husk biochar, silicon, and phosphorus in a by completely randomized design with 75-days of growth in the greenhouse. To identify the optimum rate of phosphorus combining with rice husk biochar and Si for maximizing maize yield and soil chemical properties. This experiment showed that the application of 5 to biochar combine with silicon has a potential to reduce the amount of phosphorus fertilizer requirement. The application of 5 t ha-1 RHB + 100% Si + 25% TSP showed the highest pH compared to other treatment. While application of 2.5 t ha-1 RHB + 100% Si + 100% Si

Key Words	Phosphorus; biochar; silicon; fertilizer; productivity
DOI	https://doi.org/10.46488/NEPT.2025.v24i02.D1727 (DOI will be active only after
	the final publication of the paper)
Citation of the	
Paper	Muhammad Wasil Bin Abu Bakar, M. K. Uddin, Susilawati Kasim, Syaharudin
	Zaibon, S. M. Shamsuzzaman and A. N. A. Haque, 2025. Effect of biochar and
	silicon with different phosphorus levels on maize yield and soil chemical
	properties. Nature Environment and Pollution Technology, 24(2), D1727.
	https://doi.org/10.46488/NEPT.2025.v24i02.D1727

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize is one kind of important crop that being use in daily life and start to increase it demand all around the world (He,Y et al., 2023). The cultivation of this crop has to face many problems in today world that cause it production to be reduce and failed to fulfill the demand (Ali et al., 2023). The available soil mostly being affected by a few factors such as alkalinity, acidity, imbalance nutrient toxicity and water stress (Msimbira & Smith, 2020).

This suffering by crop in soil because the acidic soil high in hydrogen ion with the high concentration of Al content (Neina, 2019). Adding cation (Mg, K & Ca) by application of chemical fertilizer can be one of the solutions to reduce the soil acidity (Shankara et al., 2022). Other than that, adding silicon would be also practical to reduce the effect of aluminium and manganese at the same time handling P deficiency problem in acidic soil (Pontingo et al., 2015). Thus, as an alternative to single silicon, biochar should be applied together to improve its function (Sattar et al., 2022). Moreover, apply biochar together with the fertilizer would promote N retention and C stability together with improving N uptake by and minimized the loss N (Peng et al., 2019). The biochar would also improve phytoremediation and lower down health risk caused by fertilizer (Ibrahim et al., 2016). Different type of biochar would have different content of

elemental composition (i.e., wood mixture biochar has higher content of H, O, N and S than biochar from maize and meadow grass) (Ullah et al., 2018).

To solve the problem regarding acidity in soil focus need to be given on the functional group (carboxylic and phenolic) that need to be neutral (Mosharrof et al., 2022). Unfortunately, freshly prepared biochar having low carboxylic and phenolic group that give less effect to the neutralization (Mia et al., 2017). Most of the researcher agree that biochar combine with other compound would give beneficial effect to lower down the acidity effect and rise up the pH (Islam et al., 2021;Haque et al., 2021; Mosharrof et al., 2022), soil carbon removal(Zheng et al., 2020), promote rapidly growth, (Brennan et al., 2014), adjust soil structure together with improvement nutrient uptake(Peng et al., 2019) and some type of biochar also seemed to be practical to remove few type of pollutant(i.e., blue, tetracycline, pesticide and phosphate)(Li, X., et al., 2020). Biochar is also applicable to reduce the effect of Al & Fe concentration that give effect to increasing in Ph (Shetty & Prakash., 2020).

These suggest that biochar could help to reduce the acidity effect and improve the production. The effects of biochar with silicon should be further enhanced because both has same function to control the acidity of soil through alkaline and cation properties. The combining of both of this material should give more impact on the soil quality (Pontigo et al., 2015; Abukari., 2014). Moreover, previous studies showed that the silicon application would give benefit to increase the pH (De Sousa et al., 2019), boost up the photosynthesis rate (Pitann et al., 2021) and support the plant development (Zhiming et al., 2014). To get optimal outcome the rate of biochar and silicon need to be justified. Other than that, low pH value is can be highly caused by phosphorus deficiency and high potential for N2O emissions (Xie et al., 2020). While Mensah & Frimpong (2018), report that in sub-Saharan African (SSA), soil also face problem through continuous cultivation and rapid organic matter mineralization that will affect the properties of soil. However, these studies were conducted in various rate of biochar and silicon without the reducing of any supply on NPK fertilizer.

Application of biochar and silicon fertilizer helps to reduce the phosphorus fertilizer application, improve the maize growth and soil properties. Hence, the main objective of the study was to examine the performance of maize after the application of biochar with silicon fertilizer and with variable rates of P fertilizer.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1.1 Experimental Site

A pot experiment was conducted for 75 days (October to December, 2022) in the greenhouse UPM at 2°98'36.6" N (north) latitude and 101°73'81.9" E (east) longitudes with an elevation of 56.8 m from sea level at the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia.

2.1.2 Experimental design and Treatments

The pot experiment was laid out in a completely randomized (CRD) with three replications having a pot size 38 cm(height) and 32 cm(diameter). The Bungor (Typic Paleudult; Order: Ultisol) soil series was collected in depth from 0–20 cm from Taman Pertanian, UPM, Puchong, Selangor (2°58′59.7″ N latitude; 101°38'47.5″ E longitude). It was air-dried, sieved through a 2 mm before chemical analyzing. For the green house experiment, the soil was sieved to 4mm and applied in all of the pots at a rate 20 kg of soil pot⁻¹. The biochar treatment was applied to the soil base on the dose required with recommend rate 10t/ha and mixed well and left for about one week before add with silicon 150kg/ha recommend as optimal amount for maize by (Xie et al., 2014). Additional N & K based on MARDI recommendation fertilizer: 120 kg N/Ha in the form of urea and 100 kg Potassium in the form of Muriate of potash 45 (MOP) was applied. Two recommended treatment from previous studies (Bakar et al.,2024). It was (50% RHB+ 100%Silicon) and (25% RHB+ 100%Silicon) and continue to implement together with various rate (0%,50%,75% & 100%) of Triple Superphosphate (TSP) for this research. In this experiment, F1 Hybrid Sweet Corn variety was collected from a local market as a test crop and it also a common variety use by Malaysian farmers. The maize seedling was put and being watered regularly to maintain a soil moisture content of 60-70% of water holding capacity during the growth period, and being destructively harvested 75 days after planting. Table 1 shows the treatment combination:

Table 1: Treatments for Conducting the Experiment

	Treatment
1.	(50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (0%P)
2.	(50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25%P)
3.	(50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50%P)
4.	(50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (100%P)
5.	(25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (0%P)
6.	(25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25%P)
7.	(25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50%P)
8.	(25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (100%P)

Table 1.1: Equivalent amount in the experiment setu

	-	1	
Element	Application	Recommended rate	Experiment setup rate
		(kg/Ha)	(g/20kg soil)
Biochar	Rice Husk	10000	700
Silicon	Silicon (SiO :40%)	150	1
Nitrogen	Urea	120	0.8
Phosphorus	Triple Superphosphate (TSP)	60	0.4
Potassium	Muriate of Potash (MOP)	90	0.6

2.1.3 Post-harvest soil analysis

2.1.3.1 4.2.3.1 Acidity (pH)

Soil Ph was determined in water at a soil to solution ratio of 1:10(TAN, 1995). 1g oven-dried soil sample was placed into a vial and 10Ml Distilled water was added to it. It was shaken thoroughly for 5minutes and allowed to stand for 2 hours and the ph of the suspension was then measured using a pH meter for soil samples respectively.

2.1.3.2 Soil Available P

0.5M sodium bicarbonate, NaHCO₃, was prepared (21g of sodium bicarbonate was dissolved in about 450ml distilled water and was adjust to 8.5 pH with 1N NaOH and mark up to volume).1 g of soil sample was weighed into the plastic vial, 20 ml of the 0.5M sodium bicarbonate was added. It was shaking for 30 minutes, filtered by filter paper no.2 and send to ICP for phosphorus analysis (Olsen et al.,1954)

2.1.3.3 Exchangeable Cation(Magnesium, Potassium & Calcium)

Exchangeable cation was extracted using 100ml of 1N ammonium acetate((Schollengberger & Simon.,1945). Ashless cotton was put down at the hole of leaching tube to avoid the soil from pass through the tube and covered with filter paper with small size before put the 10g soil sample. Another filter paper was put on the soil sample to avoid the soil from being inverted when the solution was poured. The leaching valve was adjusted to make sure that the ammonium acetate flowing through the soil sample slowly with the speed one drop to another drop around 6 to

8 second. The concentration of K, Ca & Mg in the solutions were determined by the inductively coupled plasmaatomic emission spectrometry (ICP).

2.1.3.4 Soil Exchangeable Aluminium

1N KCL solution was prepared. Then weighed 5g of soil in plastic vial, added with 50 ml KCL solution, the cap was closed and the solution was shake for 30 minutes. After that the supernatant was slowly passing through whatman no 42 filters paper and send to ICP for aluminium analysis.

2.2.4 Plant material analysis

2.2.4.1 Leave number and plant Height analysis

The leave number of open leave was count at (75 DAS) and the plant height was determined using a measuring tape at harvest (75 DAS) from the base to the tip of the longest leaf (Lai,L.,2019).

2.2.4.2 Leave Photosynthesis rate(µmolCO2m-2s-1) and conductance (mol H20 m-2s-1)

The leaf chlorophyll content was measured using a portable chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502 Konica Minolta, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The Chlorophyll reading was taken from the middle part of the largest leave of each plant using meter (SPAD-502, Konica Minolta, Osaka, Japan) (Alarefee ER AL.,2021) and the conductance reading was recorded at the same time.

2.2.4.3 Plant Fresh weight and biomass(g)

After harvest, the shoot (stem and leaves) were washed and dammed with tissue paper before dried in an oven at 60 °C for 72 h and weighed again for the biomass with consistence weight (Mosharrof et al.,2021)

2.2.4.4 Shoot nutrient Uptake (ppm)

The dried shoot than was blender and again dry in crucible in oven then put in glass desiccator before 0.25g was take to be extract the macro element of the shoot by using drying ashing method (Yuan et al.,2016) The concentration of P, K Ca and Mg were determined using inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP). The result then convert into (ppm) by this formula:

$$Nutrient \ concentration(ppm) = Mean(mg/L) \ \times \left(\frac{mark \ up \ volume(50ml)}{weight(0.25g)}\right)$$

The maize nutrient uptake was later being calculated by multiplying with the respective dry weight ovendry weight of the plant part with the nutrient content (Alarefee ER AL.,2021)

Nutrient Uptake = Nutrient Content(ppm) × Biomass(g)

2.2.5 Cob yield component

2.2.5.1 Cob length, weight, grain per cob and grain yield

The cob length was measure before destructive the plant. While the cob weight(g) was measured using weight balance after harvest. Then total grain weight and 100 grain weight per cob was measure to calculated the grain per cob. Lastly the grain yield was recorded as stated in formula below:

grain yield
$$\frac{t}{ha} = \left(\frac{\text{Total grain weight per cob } \times (3x10^6 KgSoil per Ha}{20 KgSoil}\right)$$

2.2.6 Statistical Analysis

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) procedure was used to analyze all of the data, and Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test was used to separate the means. Repeated measures analyses were performed on all parameters using John's Macintosh Project (JMP) Analysis Software , ($p \le 0.05$).

3.1 Result and discussion

3.1.1 Effect of RHB, Silicon fertilizer, and Varying Doses of Phosphorus on the post-harvest soil properties

It was noticed that pH from post-harvest soil were significantly affected by biochar and silicon with varied phosphorus fertilizer application (p<0.05) (Table 4.3). The available pH was higher in T2(6.70) followed by T1, T3 & T7(6.47). This finding was similar to Mosharrof et al. (2021b), which observe 6.14 pH with the application of recommended RHB and phosphorus. The acidity also reduce with the low dose of phosphorus may cause by the effectiveness applies after reducing the Al and Fe stress reduced, make the pH increase and would improve the plant development (Xiong et al.,2024; Bakar et al.,2024; Ahmad et al.,2018). The available P was significantly affected by the application of different rate of biochar, silicon and phosphorus fertilizer. The highest P was recorded by T7(10.75) followed by T8(8.85) while T6(2.3) recorded the lowest P. Biochar application would increase the phosphorus availability (Seleiman et al., 2020). Thus, the low dose of phosphorus with application of biochar still show high result (Glaser, B., & Lehr, 2019). The combining of silicon and fertilizer would become an agent to make a binding that will make the fertilizer embedded in soil that would reduce the leaching of it and remain the available in soil (Nguyen, 2021).

The application of Silicon, biochar and phosphorus fertilizer significantly affected the Al content in soil. The highest total Al in soil was observed from $T_2(0.031)$ followed by $T_1(0.023/kg)$ and the lowest was record by $T_6(0.001 \text{Cmol/kg})$. One finding show that the application of rice husk with high silicon would reduce the phytotoxicity (Wang et al.,2019). The less dose of phosphorus seemed to be still effective to reduce the Al may cause by the adding of silicon with the presence of its silicate surface would absorb and reduce the Al effect (Pontigo et

al., 2015; Haynes, 2014).

The application of different rate of phosphorus with biochar and silicon fertilizer was significantly affected the exchangeable cation of the soil (Ca, K and Mg). The highest Exchangeable Ca, K & Mg recorded by T8(2.96, 2.09 & 1.94) cmol/kg and lowest K & Ca recorded by T2(1.52 & 0.67) cmol/kg. While the lowest exchangeable Mg recorded by T5(0.42cmol/kg). This cation may increase through the high consumption of H+ promote by biochar (Mosharrof et al., 2021b) and applying biochar and silicon together seemed to be as then medium to change the property of hydrophilic into hydrophobic. That would delay nutrient release from the soil (Weeks & Hettiarachchi, 2019)

	1	1				
Treatment	pH	P(Mg/kg)	EXC Ca	EXC K	EXC Mg	Al (Cmol/kg)
T1	6.47ab±0.07	7.75ab±0.49	2.54b±0.04	1.08bc±0.02	$0.96b{\pm}0.02$	0.023ab±0.004
T2	6.7a±0.06	8.1ab±1.91	1.52c±0.04	$0.67e\pm 0.02$	$0.43 f{\pm} 0.01$	0.031a±0.001
T3	6.47ab±0.07	5.9ab±0.29	2.63ab±0.04	1.04bc±0.02	0.67cd±0.01	$0.005c{\pm}0.001$
T4	6.33ab±0.07	3b±1.50	2.60ab±0.20	$1.10b{\pm}0.04$	0.71c±0.01	$0.012bc \pm 0.004$
T5	5.2d±0.00	8.53ab±0.18	1.54c±0.06	$0.88d{\pm}0.01$	$0.42 f{\pm} 0.003$	0.012bc±0.001
T6	$6.27bc\pm0.07$	2.3b±0.12	1.83c±0.02	0.76e±0.01	$0.49e{\pm}0.01$	0.001c±0
T7	6.47ab±0.07	10.75a±0.38	$2.43b\pm0.04$	0.97 cd ± 0.01	$0.65d{\pm}0.004$	$0.006c \pm 0.002$
T8	5.87c±0.18	8.85ab±3.15	2.96a±0.02	2.09a±0.03	_1.94a±0.02	$0.007c \pm 0.002$

Table 4.3: Post-harvest soil properties

Means within the same column followed by the different letters are significantly different at $p \le 0.05$; (Tukey's HSD test). The column represents the mean values \pm standard error. T1 = (50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T3 = (50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T4 = (50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (100% P), T5 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (0% P), T6 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7 = (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% RHB) + (25% RHB)

3.1.2 Effect of RHB, Silicon fertilizer, and Varying Doses of Phosphorus on plant height, leaf number, conductance, photosynthesis rate and dry biomass of maize plant

Different availability of phosphorus significantly together with silicon and biochar application affected (Appendix F1) on the plant height(cm) of the maize measure at 65 DAS. T5 showed the shortest height(119.63cm) compare to other treatments. All the remaining treatment result higher height ranging from154.27cm to 180.23cm in 65 day. It was noticed that Biomass of maize were significantly affected by the availability of biochar with biochar and silicon application (p<0.05) (Table 4.4). The highest biomass recorded by T4(81.47) Followed by T5(76.74) while the lowest biomass recorded by

T1(52.13). One research recorded that the maize treat with Silicon 40 kg ha-1 and 80 kg ha-1 showed result of shoot height 130.4cm and 133.5 cm while 128.5cm for control (Younas et al., 2021). While Peter Asbon. (2017), stated that high rate of phosphorus would give more impact on the Plant height after 6 weeks. The application of biochar and silicon increase the development of plant by promoting rise in solubilizing organic phosphate, mineralizing inorganic phosphate and nutrient uptake (Raza et al., 2021).

In this study, it was noticed that the silicon and biochar with different phosphorus availability significantly affected (Appendix F3) (p<0.05) the conductance (mol H20 m⁻²s⁻¹) from maize leaf (Table 4.4). The conductance was higher in T5(0.274) followed by T2(0.0.268) while T3(0.136) recorded the lowest conductance. Phoshorus application on the soil would probably has the tendency to improve the stomata opening and increase the conductance (Zangani et al., 2021). Although, the dose of phosphorus in this research was not constant still outcome with not high range in the conductance may cause by the presence of silicon that cover the function of phosphorus to reduce chlorophyll synthesis and lower down the the leaf water potential (Rehman et al., 2021).

Treatment	Plant height	Leaf no	Conductance (mol H20 m ⁻² s ⁻	Photosynthesis rate (µmolCO2m ⁻	Dry biomass
			1)	2 _s -1)	
T1	174.07b±0.31	11a±0.25	0.206b±0.001	32.5a±0.31	52.13d±0.98
T2	157.37d±0.37	$10b\pm0.00$	0.268a±0.003	32.7a±0.95	64.86c±1.59
T3	166.40c±1.14	11a±0.00	0.136c±0.001	28.5b±0.49	54.22d±1.22
T4	154.27d±0.43	$10b\pm0.00$	$0.146c \pm 0.004$	23.8c±0.78	81.47a±0.30
T5	119.63e±0.19	$10b\pm0.00$	0.274a±0.006	30.6ab±0.01	76.74b±0.43
T6	174.60ab±2.87	10ab±0.00	0.174bc±0.017	33.4a±1.18	67.97c±1.01
T7	180.23a±1.54	11a±0.47	$0.208b{\pm}0.001$	18.8d±0.00	69.05c±0.69
T8	168.87bc±1.10	11a±0.50	0.211b±0.023	24.4c±0.06	66.90c±0.58

Table 4.4: Plant morphological and physiological parameter

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Means within the same column followed by the different letters are significantly} \quad \text{different at } p \leq 0.05; \ (\text{Tukey's HSD test)}. \text{ The column represents the mean values } \pm \quad \text{standard error. T1} = (50\% \text{ RHB}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (0\% \text{P}), \text{T2} = (50\% \text{ RHB}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (50\% \text{P}), \text{T3} = (50\% \text{ RHB}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (50\% \text{P}), \text{T4} = (50\% \text{ RHB}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (25\% \text{P}), \text{T3} = (50\% \text{ RHB}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (50\% \text{P}), \text{T4} = (50\% \text{ RHB}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (25\% \text{P}), \text{T5} = (25\% \text{ RHB}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (25\% \text{P}), \text{T7} = (25\% \text{ RHB}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (25\% \text{P}), \text{T8} = (25\% \text{ RHB}) + (100\% \text{ Si}) + (100\% \text{ P}). \end{array}$

3.1.3 Effect of RHB, Silicon fertilizer, and Varying Doses of Phosphorus on cob length, cob weight, number of grain per cob and grain yield

Cob length, Cob weight, number of grains per cob and grain yield of maize were enhanced significantly (Appendix F6, F7, F8 & F9) by various treatments (Table 4.5). A longer but statistically similar cob length was observed in T3, T4, and T5 (up to 20.77g), while the lightest was observed in T5 (16.4g). The maximum cob weight, No of grain and yield grain were noted in T3 (160.56g, 417.08g and 14.6t/ha, respectively), while the lowest rate of biochar, silicon and phosphorus recorded the lowest T5(61.23g, 120.24g and 4.21t/ha). Application of biochar with NPK would produce high yield compare to sole biochar (Arif et al., 2012) and higher dose would produce higher yield (islam et al., 2018). Biochar improve the soil structure, root growth, nutrient uptake and promote high yield (Liu x et al., 2021). Although biochar has high content of phosphorus, TSP fertilizer still need to be imply as targeted for the high yield because biochar will act as slow-releasing phosphorus (P) fertilizers and will increase P use efficiency (Li H et al., 2020).

		maile from paramete	-	
Treatment	Cob lengt (cm)	h Cob weight (g)	No of grain per cob	grain yield (t/ha)
T1	19.4ab±1.15	112.21b±8.14	360.14a±7.89	12.60a±0.28
T2	19.13ab±0.91	135.76ab±14.41	180.11bc±24.99	6.30bc±0.87
Т3	21.27a±0.52	160.56a±7.12	417.08a±11.84	14.60a±0.41
T4	20.77a±0.318	151.87ab±12.76	400.38a±15.24	14.01a±0.53
T5	16.4b±0.25	61.23c±7.95	120.24c±22.41	4.21c±0.78

Table 4.5: Cob development and maize yield parameter

T6	20.77a±0.63	125.96ab±7.61	218.8b±2.70	7.66b±0.09
T7	18.73b±1.07	119.22ab±10.32	337.36a±16	11.81a±0.56
<u>T8</u>	19.53ab±1.08	118.79ab±6.0	339.38a±19.25	11.88a±0.67

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:second} \mbox{Means within the same column followed by the different letters are significantly different at $p \le 0.05$; (Tukey's HSD test). The column represents the mean values \pm standard error. T1 = (50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (0%P), T2=(50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T3= (50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T4= (50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (100% Si) + (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8= (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (100% P). \end{array}$

3.1.4 Effect of RHB, Silicon fertilizer, and Varying Doses of Phosphorus on P, K, Ca & Mg uptake of maize plant

There was a significant difference in plant nutrient uptake of maize, represented in Tables 4.6. With T8 the total uptake of P(1143.62ppm/g) and Mg (1135.4 ppm/g) taken up by the maize plant were statistically higher than other treatment. The highest uptake of K (26980.9 ppm/g) and Ca (4273.6ppm/g) obtained from T4 (50% RHB + 100% Si + 100% TSP). The lowest Uptake of P recorded by T7(352.79ppm/g), lowest uptake of K showed by T3(17129.6ppm/g), lowest Ca and Mg recorded by T1(2138.24ppm/g & 578.76ppm/g). The high phosphorus & potassium uptake observe with application of biochar as the treatment (Ullah et al., 2018). According to Zaidun et al. (2019), the application of biochar would increase the exchangeable K together with the increasing of dose of biochar. The high uptake of K show that the good sign to help improve photosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, protein formation then affect the good development of yield (Mikkelsen, 2017).

Table 4	.6: N	utrient	uptake
---------	-------	---------	--------

Treatment	Uptake P(ppm/g)	Uptake K(ppm/g)	Uptake Ca(ppm/g)	Uptake Mg(ppm/g)
T1	378.59de+20	17337.2d+18.22	2138.24d+8.67	578.76f+14.71
T2	422.15de+15.4	20789.1b+28.5	3221.23b+7.33	984.65bc+13.2
Т3	698.09b+7.09	17129.6d+38.1	2346.87cd+2.11	812.15e+8.88
T4	426.27d+8.81	26980.9a+31.2	4273.6a+18.63	1074.65ab+34.57
T5	510.1c+3.98	20713.9b+16.6	3270.59b+7.91	980.95bc+16.89
T6	363.46de+9.15	18525.3c+20.7	2672.78c+3.75	915.23cd+20.74
Τ7	352.79e+18.79	19286.9c+9.16	2618.04c+1.72	863.91de+9.2
<u>T8</u>	1143.62a+22.82	21050.1b+9.47	2543.41cd+1.1	1135.4a+32.1

Means within the same column followed by the different letters are significantly different at p

 \leq 0.05; (Tukey's HSD test). The column represents the mean values \pm standard error. T1 = (50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (0%P), T2=(50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25%P), T3= (50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50%P), T4= (50% RHB) + (100% Si) + (100% P), T5= (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7= (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7= (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8= (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (25% P), T7= (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (50% P), T8= (25% RHB) + (100% Si) + (100% P).

4. Conclusion

To conclude, treatment 3 he combined application of biochar and silicon, along with 50% phosphorus, is recommended for enhancing the pH, K and Ca uptake, biomass and yield. This treatment is practically can be applied for further trial in field plot to get more stable result. This approach will help for conducive application, environmental benefits and gain more profit to farmers.

5. Acknowledgments

This work was supported under research grant FRGS 5540389. The authors thank the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (MOHE) and Universiti Putra Malaysia for sponsoring the research work

6. References

1.	Abukari, A. (2014). Effect of rice husk biochar on maize productivity in the guinea savannah zone of Ghana (Doctoral	3
	dissertation).	4
2.	Ahmad, M., Usman, A. R., Al-Faraj, A. S., Ahmad, M., Sallam, A., & Al-Wabel, M. I. (2018). Phosphorus-loaded biochar	5
	changes soil heavy metals availability and uptake potential of maize (Zea mays L.) plants. Chemosphere, 194, 327-339.	6
3.	Alarefee Ahmed, H., Ishak, C. F., Karam, D. S., & Othman, R. (2021). Efficiency of Rice Husk Biochar with Poultry Litter	7
	Co-Composts in Oxisols for Improving Soil acidity ysico-Chemical Properties and Enhancing Maize Performance. Agron-	8
	<i>omy</i> , <i>11</i> (12), 2409.	9
4.	Ali, S. A., Mumtaz, M. Z., Rahi, A. A., Irshad, I., Hussain, G. S., Dawar, K., & Danish, S. (2023). Impact of acidified	10
	carbon on maize growth, yield and grains nutrients concentration under normal irrigation and osmotic stress. Journal of	11
	King Saud University-Science, 35(2), 102505.	12
5.	Amin, M., Ahmad, R., Ali, A., Hussain, I., Mahmood, R., Aslam, M., & Lee, D. J. (2018). Influence of silicon fertiliza-	13
	tion on maize performance under limited water supply. Silicon, 10, 177-183.	14
6.	Aoife Brennan, et al. "Effects of Biochar Amendment On Root Traits and Contaminant Availability of Maize Plants In a	15
	Copper and Arsenic Impacted Soil." Plant and soil, v. 379 ,.1-2 pp. 351-360. doi: 10.1007/s11104-014-2074-0	16
7.	Arif, M., Ali, A., Umair, M., Munsif, F., Ali, K., Inamullah, M. S., & Ayub, G. (2012). Effect of biochar FYM and min-	17
	eral nitrogen alone and in combination on yield and yield components of maize. Sarhad J. Agric, 28(2), 191-195.	18
8.	Bakar, M.W.B.A., Uddin, M.K., Kasim, S., Zaibon, S., Shamsuzzaman, S.M., Haque, A.N.A. and Reza, A., 2024. Com-	19
	bined Application of Biochar and Silicon Fertilizer for Improved Soil Properties and Maize Growth. Nature Environment	20
	and Pollution Technology, 23(3), pp.1527-1535	21
9.	De Sousa, A., Saleh, A. M., Habeeb, T. H., Hassan, Y. M., Zrieq, R., Wadaan, M. A., & AbdElgawad, H. (2019). Sili-	22
	con dioxide nanoparticles ameliorate the phytotoxic hazards of aluminum in maize grown on acidic soil. Science of the	23
	Total Environment, 693, 133636.	24
10.	Glaser, B., & Lehr, V. I. (2019). Biochar effects on phosphorus availability in agricultural soils: A meta-analysis. Scien-	25
	<i>tific reports</i> , 9(1), 9338.	26
11.	Haque, A. N. A., Uddin, M. K., Sulaiman, M. F., Amin, A. M., Hossain, M., Solaiman, Z. M., & Mosharrof, M. (2022).	27
	Rice Growth Performance, Nutrient Use Efficiency and Changes in Soil Properties Influenced by Biochar under Alter-	28
	nate Wetting and Drying Irrigation. Sustainability, 14(13), 7977.	29
12.	Haque, A. N. A., Uddin, M. K., Sulaiman, M. F., Amin, A. M., Hossain, M., Aziz, A. A., & Mosharrof, M. (2021). Im-	30
	pact of organic amendment with alternate wetting and drying irrigation on rice yield, water use efficiency and physico-	31
	chemical properties of soil. Agronomy, 11(8), 1529	32
13.	Haynes, R. J. (2014). A contemporary overview of silicon availability in agricultural soils. Journal of Plant Nutrition and	33
	Soil Science, 177(6), 831-844.)	34
14.	He, Y., Qiu, B., Cheng, F., Chen, C., Sun, Y., Zhang, D., & Xu, A. (2023). National Scale Maize Yield Estimation by	35
	Integrating Multiple Spectral Indexes and Temporal Aggregation. Remote Sensing, 15(2), 414.	36

1 2

15.	Hossain, A., Krupnik, T. J., Timsina, J., Mahboob, M. G., Chaki, A. K., Farooq, M., & Hasanuzzaman, M. (2020). Agricultural land degradation: processes and problems undermining future food security. In <i>Environment, climate, plant</i>	37 38
	Agricultural land degradation: processes and problems undermining future food security. In Environment, climate, plant	20
		30
	and vegetation growth (pp. 17-61). Cham: Springer International Publishing.	39
16.	Ibrahim, M., Khan, S., Hao, X., & Li, G. (2016). Biochar effects on metal bioaccumulation and arsenic speciation in al-	40
	falfa (Medicago sativa L.) grown in contaminated soil. International journal of environmental science and technol-	41
	ogy, 13, 2467-2474.	42
17.	Islam, M. U., Jiang, F., Guo, Z., & Peng, X. (2021). Does biochar application improve soil aggregation? A meta-analy-	43
	sis. Soil and Tillage Research, 209, 104926.	44
18.	Lai, L. 2019. Utilization of Rice Straw Biochar and Urea to Mitigate Greenhouse Gases Emission in Sustainable	45
	Rice Production. Ph.D. Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia.	46
19.	Li, H., Li, Y., Xu, Y., & Lu, X. (2020). Biochar phosphorus fertilizer effects on soil phosphorus availability. Chemo-	47
	sphere, 244, 125471.	48
20.	Liu, L., Li, J., Wu, G., Shen, H., Fu, G., & Wang, Y. (2021). Combined effects of biochar and chicken manure on maize	49
	(Zea mays L.) growth, lead uptake and soil enzyme activities under lead stress. PeerJ, 9, e11754.	50
21.	Ma, J. F., & Takahashi, E. (2002). Soil, fertilizer, and plant silicon research in Japan. Elsevier.	51
22.	Mensah, A. K., & Frimpong, K. A. (2018). Biochar and/or compost applications improve soil properties, growth, and	52
	yield of maize grown in acidic rainforest and coastal savannah soils in Ghana. International Journal of Agronomy, 2018.	53
23.	Mia, S., Dijkstra, F. A., & Singh, B. (2017). Long-term aging of biochar: a molecular understanding with agricultural and	54
	environmental implications. Advances in agronomy, 141, 1-51.	55
24.	Mikkelsen, R. (2017). The importance of potassium management for horticultural crops. Indian J Fert, 13(11), 82-86.	56
25.	Mosharrof, M., Uddin, M. K., Jusop, S., Sulaiman, M. F., Shamsuzzaman, S. M., & Haque, A. N. A. (2021). Changes in	57
	acidic soil chemical properties and carbon dioxide emission due to biochar and lime treatments. Agriculture, 11(3), 219.	58
26.	Mosharrof, M., Uddin, M. K., Mia, S., Sulaiman, M. F., Shamsuzzaman, S. M., & Haque, A. N. A. (2022). Influence of	59
	Rice Husk Biochar and Lime in Reducing Phosphorus Application Rate in Acid Soil: A Field Trial with Maize. Sustaina-	60
	<i>bility</i> , <i>14</i> (12), 7418.	61
27.	Mosharrof, M., Uddin, M. K., Sulaiman, M. F., Mia, S., Shamsuzzaman, S. M., & Haque, A. N. A. (2021). Combined	62
	Application of Rice Husk Biochar and Lime Increases Phosphorus Availability and Maize Yield in an Acidic Soil. Agri-	63
	<i>culture</i> , 11(8), 793.	64
28.	Msimbira, L. A., & Smith, D. L. (2020). The roles of plant growth promoting microbes in enhancing plant tolerance to	65
	acidity and alkalinity stresses. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 4, 106.	66
29.	Neina, D. (2019). The role of soil pH in plant nutrition and soil remediation. Applied and environmental soil sci-	67
	ence, 2019, 1-9.	68
30.	Nguyen, M. N. (2021). Potential use of silica-rich biochar for the formulation of adaptively controlled release fertilizers:	69
	A mini review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 307, 127188.	70
31.	Olsen, S. R. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate (No. 939).	71
	US Department of Agriculture.	72

32.	Opala, P. A. (2017). Influence of lime and phosphorus application rates on growth of maize in an acid soil. Advances in	73
	Agriculture, 2017.	74
33.	Peng, J., Han, X., Li, N., Chen, K., Yang, J., Zhan, X., & Liu, N. (2021). Combined application of biochar with ferti-	75
	lizer promotes nitrogen uptake in maize by increasing nitrogen retention in soil. Biochar, 3, 367-379.	76
34.	Peng, Y., Sun, Y., Sun, R., Zhou, Y., Tsang, D. C., & Chen, Q. (2019). Optimizing the synthesis of Fe/Al (Hydr) oxides-	77
	Biochars to maximize phosphate removal via response surface model. Journal of Cleaner Production, 237, 117770.	78
35.	Pitann, B., Bakhat, H. F., Fatima, A., Hanstein, S., & Schubert, S. (2021). Silicon-mediated growth promotion in maize	79
	(Zea mays L.) occurs via a mechanism that does not involve activation of the plasma membrane H+-ATPase. Plant Phys-	80
	iology and Biochemistry, 166, 1121-1130.	81
36.	Pontigo, S., Ribera, A., Gianfreda, L., de la Luz Mora, M., Nikolic, M., & Cartes, P. (2015). Silicon in vascular plants:	82
	uptake, transport and its influence on mineral stress under acidic conditions. Planta, 242, 23-37.	83
37.	Raza, M. A. S., Haider, I., Farrukh Saleem, M., Iqbal, R., Usman Aslam, M., Ahmad, S., & Abbasi, S. H. (2021). Inte-	84
	grating biochar, rhizobacteria and silicon for strenuous productivity of drought stressed wheat. Communications in Soil	85
	Science and Plant Analysis, 52(4), 338-352.	86
38.	Rehman, M. U., Ilahi, H., Adnan, M., Wahid, F., Rehman, F. U., Ullah, A., & Raza, M. A. (2021). Application of sili-	87
	con: A useful way to mitigate drought stress: An overview. Curr. Res. Agric. Farming, 2, 9-17.	88
39.	Sattar, A., Sher, A., Abourehab, M. A., Ijaz, M., Nawaz, M., Ul-Allah, S., & Javaid, M. M. (2022). Application of sili-	89
	con and biochar alleviates the adversities of arsenic stress in maize by triggering the morpho-physiological and antioxi-	90
	dant defense mechanisms. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 10, 2086.	91
40.	dant defense mechanisms. <i>Frontiers in Environmental Science</i> , <i>10</i> , 2086. Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-	91 92
40.		
	Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-	92
	Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil- Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24.	92 93
	Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil- Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO	92 93 94
41.	 Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles and biochar of rice straw and cow manure on characteristics of contaminated soil and sunflower productiv- 	92 93 94 95
41.	 Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles and biochar of rice straw and cow manure on characteristics of contaminated soil and sunflower productivity, oil quality, and heavy metals uptake. <i>Agronomy</i>, <i>10</i>(6), 790. 	92 93 94 95 96
41.	 Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles and biochar of rice straw and cow manure on characteristics of contaminated soil and sunflower productivity, oil quality, and heavy metals uptake. <i>Agronomy</i>, <i>10</i>(6), 790. Shankara, M. H., Chandrakala, M., Prakash, H. C., Nalina, C. N., Sudhir, K., & Rani, S. S. (2022). Maize (Zea mays) 	92 93 94 95 96 97
41. 42.	 Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles and biochar of rice straw and cow manure on characteristics of contaminated soil and sunflower productivity, oil quality, and heavy metals uptake. <i>Agronomy</i>, <i>10</i>(6), 790. Shankara, M. H., Chandrakala, M., Prakash, H. C., Nalina, C. N., Sudhir, K., & Rani, S. S. (2022). Maize (Zea mays) Yield Response to Application of Calcium, Magnesium and Boron on Acid Soil. <i>International Journal of Environment</i> 	92 93 94 95 96 97 98
41. 42.	 Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles and biochar of rice straw and cow manure on characteristics of contaminated soil and sunflower productivity, oil quality, and heavy metals uptake. <i>Agronomy</i>, <i>10</i>(6), 790. Shankara, M. H., Chandrakala, M., Prakash, H. C., Nalina, C. N., Sudhir, K., & Rani, S. S. (2022). Maize (Zea mays) Yield Response to Application of Calcium, Magnesium and Boron on Acid Soil. <i>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</i>, <i>12</i>(11), 2980-2988. 	92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99
41.42.43.	 Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles and biochar of rice straw and cow manure on characteristics of contaminated soil and sunflower productivity, oil quality, and heavy metals uptake. <i>Agronomy</i>, <i>10</i>(6), 790. Shankara, M. H., Chandrakala, M., Prakash, H. C., Nalina, C. N., Sudhir, K., & Rani, S. S. (2022). Maize (Zea mays) Yield Response to Application of Calcium, Magnesium and Boron on Acid Soil. <i>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</i>, <i>12</i>(11), 2980-2988. Shetty, R., & Prakash, N. B. (2020). Effect of different biochars on acid soil and growth parameters of rice plants under 	92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100
41.42.43.44.	 Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles and biochar of rice straw and cow manure on characteristics of contaminated soil and sunflower productivity, oil quality, and heavy metals uptake. <i>Agronomy</i>, <i>10</i>(6), 790. Shankara, M. H., Chandrakala, M., Prakash, H. C., Nalina, C. N., Sudhir, K., & Rani, S. S. (2022). Maize (Zea mays) Yield Response to Application of Calcium, Magnesium and Boron on Acid Soil. <i>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</i>, <i>12</i>(11), 2980-2988. Shetty, R., & Prakash, N. B. (2020). Effect of different biochars on acid soil and growth parameters of rice plants under aluminium toxicity. <i>Scientific Reports</i>, <i>10</i>(1), 1-10. 	 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101
41.42.43.44.	 Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles and biochar of rice straw and cow manure on characteristics of contaminated soil and sunflower productivity, oil quality, and heavy metals uptake. <i>Agronomy</i>, <i>10</i>(6), 790. Shankara, M. H., Chandrakala, M., Prakash, H. C., Nalina, C. N., Sudhir, K., & Rani, S. S. (2022). Maize (Zea mays) Yield Response to Application of Calcium, Magnesium and Boron on Acid Soil. <i>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</i>, <i>12</i>(11), 2980-2988. Shetty, R., & Prakash, N. B. (2020). Effect of different biochars on acid soil and growth parameters of rice plants under aluminium toxicity. <i>Scientific Reports</i>, <i>10</i>(1), 1-10. Tan, K. H. (2005). <i>Soil sampling, preparation, and analysis</i>. CRC press. 	 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102
41.42.43.44.45.	 Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles and biochar of rice straw and cow manure on characteristics of contaminated soil and sunflower productivity, oil quality, and heavy metals uptake. <i>Agronomy</i>, <i>10</i>(6), 790. Shankara, M. H., Chandrakala, M., Prakash, H. C., Nalina, C. N., Sudhir, K., & Rani, S. S. (2022). Maize (Zea mays) Yield Response to Application of Calcium, Magnesium and Boron on Acid Soil. <i>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</i>, <i>12</i>(11), 2980-2988. Shetty, R., & Prakash, N. B. (2020). Effect of different biochars on acid soil and growth parameters of rice plants under aluminium toxicity. <i>Scientific Reports</i>, <i>10</i>(1), 1-10. Tan, K. H. (2005). <i>Soil sampling, preparation, and analysis</i>. CRC press. Ullah, Z., Akmal, M. S., Ahmed, M., Ali, M., Khan, A. Z., & Ziad, T. (2018). Effect of biochar on maize yield and yield 	 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103
41.42.43.44.45.	 Schollenberger, C.J. and Simon, R.H. (1945) Determination of Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases in Soil-Ammonium Acetate Method. Soil Science, 59, 13-24. Seleiman, M. F., Alotaibi, M. A., Alhammad, B. A., Alharbi, B. M., Refay, Y., & Badawy, S. A. (2020). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles and biochar of rice straw and cow manure on characteristics of contaminated soil and sunflower productivity, oil quality, and heavy metals uptake. <i>Agronomy</i>, <i>10</i>(6), 790. Shankara, M. H., Chandrakala, M., Prakash, H. C., Nalina, C. N., Sudhir, K., & Rani, S. S. (2022). Maize (Zea mays) Yield Response to Application of Calcium, Magnesium and Boron on Acid Soil. <i>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</i>, <i>12</i>(11), 2980-2988. Shetty, R., & Prakash, N. B. (2020). Effect of different biochars on acid soil and growth parameters of rice plants under aluminium toxicity. <i>Scientific Reports</i>, <i>10</i>(1), 1-10. Tan, K. H. (2005). <i>Soil sampling, preparation, and analysis</i>. CRC press. Ullah, Z., Akmal, M. S., Ahmed, M., Ali, M., Khan, A. Z., & Ziad, T. (2018). Effect of biochar on maize yield and yield components in rainfed conditions. <i>International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR) Vol</i>, <i>12</i>, 46-51. 	 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104

47.	Weeks Jr, J. J., & Hettiarachchi, G. M. (2019). A review of the latest in phosphorus fertilizer technology: possibilities	108
	and pragmatism. Journal of Environmental Quality, 48(5), 1300-1313.	109
48.	Xiangping, et al. "Preparation and application of magnetic biochar in water treatment: A critical review." Science of The	110
	Total Environment 711 (2020): 134847.	111
49.	Xie, X., Yang, S., Liu, H., Pi, K., & Wang, Y. (2020). The behavior of cadmium leaching from contaminated soil by ni-	112
	trilotriacetic acid: implication for Cd-contaminated soil remediation. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution, 231, 1-12.	113
50.	Xie, Z., Song, F., Xu, H., Shao, H., & Song, R. (2014). Effects of silicon on photosynthetic characteristics of maize (Zea	114
	mays L.) on alluvial soil. The Scientific World Journal, 2014	115
51.	Xiong, M., Dai, G.Q., Sun, R.G. and Zhao, Z., 2024. Passivation Effect of Corn Vinasse Biochar on Heavy Metal Lead in	116
	Paddy Soil of Pb-Zn Mining Area. Nature Environment & Pollution Technology, 23(1)	117
52.	Younas, H. S., Abid, M., Shaaban, M., & Ashraf, M. (2021). Influence of silicon and chitosan on growth and physiologi-	118
	cal attributes of maize in a saline field. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants, 27, 387-397.	119
53.	Yu, H., Zou, W., Chen, J., Chen, H., Yu, Z., Huang, J., & Gao, B. (2019). Biochar amendment improves crop produc-	120
	tion in problem soils: A review. Journal of environmental management, 232, 8-21.	121
54.	Yuan, Z., Cao, Q., Zhang, K., Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Tian, Y., Zhu, Y., Cao, W., and Liu, X. 2016. Optimal leaf	122
	positions for SPAD meter measurement in rice. Frontiers in Plant Science, 7, 719.	123
55.	Zaidun, S. W., Jalloh, M. B., Awang, A., Sam, L. M., Besar, N. A., Musta, B., & Latifah, O. M. A. R. (2019).	124
	Biochar and clinoptilolite zeolite on selected chemical properties of soil cultivated with maize (Zea mays L.).	125
	Eurasian Journal of Soil Science, 8(1), 1-10.	126
56.	Zangani, E., Afsahi, K., Shekari, F., Mac Sweeney, E., & Mastinu, A. (2021). Nitrogen and phosphorus addition to soil	127
	improves seed yield, foliar stomatal conductance, and the photosynthetic response of rapeseed (Brassica napus L.). Agri-	128
	<i>culture</i> , <i>11</i> (6), 483.	129
57.	Zheng, X. J., Chen, M., Wang, J. F., Liu, Y., Liao, Y. Q., & Liu, Y. C. (2020). Assessment of zeolite, biochar, and their	130
	combination for stabilization of multimetal-contaminated soil. ACS omega, 5(42), 27374-27382.	131