Prepublished copy

Nature Environment & Pollution Technology

This is a peer-reviewed prepublished version of the paper

Sustainability Evaluating of Waste Management Using RAPWASTE Method at the 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Site in Yogyakarta City

Willis Muhammad Iqbal[1], Hashfi Hawali Abdul Matin[1*], Prabang Setyono[1]

[1]Department of Environmental Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Science, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia 57126

*Corresponding Author, email: hawalihashfi@staff.uns.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The waste problem has become a big problem in Indonesia as the population continues to grow. The daily amount of waste generated in Yogyakarta City is 303.13 tons/day with the composition of the largest waste source, namely household waste, around 63.75%. This data shows that there is a need for improvements related to management, 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites is an alternative for reducing waste before it is transported to the final processing place. This research aims to understand performance, waste transportation management, evaluate the level of waste management and sustainability of waste management at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan Yogyakarta. This research was conducted on 99 respondents using a *purposive* sampling method, the data analysis used was evaluation of waste transportation, analysis of incoming, managed and unmanaged waste data, categorization of questionnaire data, evaluation of waste management performance and analysis of the sustainability of waste management using RAPFISH *software*. The research results show that waste volume management at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan is 941.15 kg/day, compost production is 190.65 kg/day. Transport management is carried out using *Stationary Container System* (SCS) and is carried out 2 times. The evaluation of waste management performance is moderate with a total relative value of 15.4, based on studies on the technical sector, institutional sector, financial sector and the area of community participation. Based on the attribute index in each sector, it is concluded that the sustainability status of waste sorting and management at Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan is 79.03 or very sustainable.

Key Words	Sustainability, management, waste, 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites
DOI	https://doi.org/10.46488/NEPT.2025.v24i02.D1715 (DOI will be active only after the final publication of the paper)
Citation of the Paper	Willis Muhammad Iqbal, Hashfi Hawali Abdul Matin, Prabang Setyono, 2025. Sustainability Evaluating of Waste Management Using RAPWASTE Method at the 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Site in Yogyakarta City. *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*, 24(2), D1715. https://doi.org/10.46488/NEPT.2025.v24i02.D1715

INTRODUCTION

The waste problem has become a big problem in Indonesia as the population continues to grow. Umbulharjo District is one of the 14 Districts in Yogyakarta with the largest area and is followed by a high population. Based on data from the Ministry of Environment and Forestry (KLHK, 2022), the daily amount of waste generated in Yogyakarta City is 303.13 tons/day with the composition of the largest waste source, namely household waste, around 63.75%. This data shows that there is a need to improve household waste management. Problems related to waste are regulated in Law Number 18 of 2008 which states that waste reduction can be done by reusing waste through the 3R (*Reduce, Reuse* and *Recycle*) system.

Regulations related to household waste management are also regulated in Presidential Regulation Number 97 of 2017 concerning National Policy and Strategy for the Management of Household Waste and Similar Household Waste which states that 3R-based waste reduction can be carried out at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites. The Yogyakarta City Government also regulates waste management in Yogyakarta City Regional Regulation Number 1 of 2022 concerning Amendments to Yogyakarta City Regional Regulation Number 10 of 2022 concerning Waste Management and Yogyakarta City Mayor Regulation Number 32 of 2023 concerning Yogyakarta City Waste Management Masterplan for 2022 – 2031 which stated that waste handling is prioritized at Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R. Based on 2022 KLHK data, the number of 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites in Yogyakarta City is 12 depots with active status, one of which is 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan RT.43/RW.11, Sorosutan, Umbulharjo. RW.11, Sorosutan, Umbulharjo. Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3r is an alternative to reduce waste before it is transported to the fiinal processing place.

3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Waste Processing Site is a place for collecting, sorting and recycling waste on a regional or communal scale (Aziz et al, 2019). The 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites program is aimed at supporting environmental policies so that they can create sustainable *development* . 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites is a communal scale waste management approach that requires participation from the government and community. Waste management with the 3R system emphasizes reducing, utilizing and processing waste starting from the source (residential areas, commercial areas, office areas, educational areas, tourist areas, etc.) (Directorate General of Human Settlements, 2017). Waste handling with the 3R system must also be supported by the availability of good waste transportation. The availability of waste transport and waste transport management greatly influences waste management (Pradiftha, 2020). This opinion is in accordance with the statement (Pratama et al, 2019), the waste transportation process is an important stage in waste managemen. So, adequate transportation facilities are needed to transport waste from upstream (waste source) to downstream (final disposal site). This research aims to understand performance, waste transportation management, evaluate the level of waste management and sustainability of waste management at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The type of research used in this research is descriptive with a qualitative approach. Qualitative research is intended to understand phenomena that occur and are described in the form of words. The application of qualitative research in this study was used to comprehensively explain the performance of waste management at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan, Umbulharjo, Yogyakarta City. The research was conducted from February to May 2024.

The sampling technique in this research used the *purposive sampling method* . Sample determination was carried out using the Slovin Formula. The respondents selected were residents who had lived in the area for ≥5 years. The selection of these criteria was carried out with the hope that respondents would have a good understanding of regional conditions. The population of Sorosutan Village is 15,397 people. Based on sample calculations using the Slovin formula, the sample used was 99 respondents. Data collection phase is collected through two methods, namely primary and secondary data. Primary data was obtained through interviews, observations and questionnaires at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan in Sorosutan Village, Umbulharjo District, Yogyakarta City regarding waste management and transportation. Details of primary and secondary data in this research are presented in Table 1.

Table 1Types and Sources of Data

No	Data	Data Type	Data source
1	Exiting condition of Temporary Waste Disposal Sites3R Nitikan	Secondary	Nitikan 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Manager
2	Temporary Waste Disposal Sites3R Nitikan Performance (Technical and Institutional Aspects)	Secondary	Data on Temporary Waste Disposal Sites3R facilities and infrastructure and organizational structure
2	Volume of waste generation	Secondary	Yogyakarta City Environmental Service
3	Waste transportation patterns and vehicle types	Secondary	Nitikan 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Manager

No	Data	Data Type	Data source
4	Data on community participation in the management and transportation of Temporary Waste Disposal Sites3R Nitikan waste	Primary	Questionnaires and interviews

Data analysis

Waste Transportation

Temporary Waste Disposal Sites3R waste transportation is analyzed based on SNI 19-2454-2002 regarding Operational Technical Procedures for Urban Waste Management.

Analysis of Incoming, Managed, and Unmanaged Garbage Data

In waste management at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan, data regarding incoming and managed waste is recorded every month (kg/month). Management involves separating organic and inorganic waste, as well as making compost from organic waste. This data is used to calculate the Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan Recovery Factor value using the formula:

$$Recovery\ Factor = \frac{(garbage\ in - unmanaged\ waste)}{garbage\ in} \times 100\%$$

Categorization of questionnaire data

a. Scoring
Scoring is used to determine the maximum and minimum scores on a question or item

Y = *highest score likert scale x number of questionnaire items*

X = *lowest score likert scale x number of questionnaire items*

b. Intervals
Intervals used fr determine distance class classification or categorywhich will be used.

$$I = \frac{(Y) - (X)}{number\ of\ categories}$$

c. Percentage Index
Index percentage used For percentage valuein determine category class.

$$Index\% = \frac{total\ score}{Y\ x\ 100}$$

Evaluation of waste management performance

The evaluation was carried out based on the 2020 Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Technical Guidelines, using 5 areas, namely supporting regulations, technical, institutional, financial and community participation. Each field has indicators with the same assessment value, namely 5, 3, and 1. Each field has a certain percentage weight. The scores for each field are added up to get a total score, which is then categorized as very good, good, poor, or poor based on predetermined evaluation criteria.

Analysis of the sustainability of waste management

The sustainability of waste management will be analyzed using *RAPWASTE.* In this research, researchers will analyze and evaluate the sustainability of waste management at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan in terms of technical, institutional, community participation, economic and ecological aspects.

Table 2. Dimensions and Attributes

Variables / Dimensions	Score	Good	Bad	Attribute	Indicator
Technical	0;1;2	2 2 2	0 0 0	• Waste management	(0) < 60% of planned capacity (1) 60 - 80% of planned service capacity (2) > 80% of the planned capacity
				• Condition of facilities and infrastructure	(0) The condition of the buildings and infrastructure is not functioning (1) The condition of the buildings and infrastructure is partially functional (2) The condition of the buildings and infrastructure is functioning well
				• Management type	(0) Just a sorting process (1) The process of sorting and processing organic waste (2) Sorting process, processing of organic and inorganic waste
Institutional	0;1;2	2 2	0 0	• Management institution	(0) Individual (1) Department / Village (2) Community self-help groups
				• Legality of the institution	(0) Without a notarial deed, the establishment decree is signed by the Village Head and it is known that the relevant SKPD and there are AD/ART (1) There is a notarial deed still in place, the establishment decree signed by the Village Head, and the relevant SKPD is known, and there are AD/ART (2) There is a notarial deed, an establishment decree signed by the Village Head, and the relevant SKPD is known, and there are AD/ART
				• Management administration	(0) TEMPORARY WASTE DISPOSAL SITES 3R operations were not recorded (1) TEMPORARY WASTE DISPOSAL SITES 3R operational records were carried out but they were not good (2) TEMPORARY WASTE DISPOSAL SITES 3R operational records were carried out properly
Society participation	0;1;2	2 2	0 0	• Waste sorting	(0) There is no waste sorting in the household (1) Only some people sort waste (2) The whole community sorts waste
				• Retribution	(0) 60% pay contributions on time (1) 60 - 90% pay contributions on time (2) 100% of people pay contributions
				• Waste management	(0) Waste management into compost and sorting according to type of waste (1) Just sorting waste

Variables / Dimensions	Score	Good	Bad	Attribute	Indicator
					(2) Not carrying out management and sorting
Economy	0;1;2	2 2	0 0	• Government assistance	(0) There is no operational funding assistance (1) There is minimal operational funding assistance (2) There is operational funding assistance as needed
				• Economic improvement	(0) There is no added economic value (1) There is additional economic value in managing TEMPORARY WASTE DISPOSAL SITES 3R (2) There is additional economic value at the community level
				• Waste reduction	(0) There is no economic improvement (1) Recycle (2) Recycling and sustainability in production and consumption
Ecology	0;1;2	2 2 2	0 0 0	• Concern about waste management	(0) No concern appears (1) Community service program (2) Active concern
				• Waste sorting	(0) Disrupts environmental aesthetics (1) Reduces waste accumulation (2) Protecting the environment
				• Environmental Health	(0) Environmental pollution and disease (1) Awareness of maintaining sanitation (2) Sanitation and reducing piles of rubbish

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of Waste Transport and Management Performance Factors

Aspects of Regulations and Development Plans

Regulations related to waste management and 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites are regulated in Yogyakarta City Regional Regulation Number 1 of 2022 concerning Amendments to Yogyakarta City Regional Regulation Number 10 of 2012 concerning Waste Management and Yogyakarta Mayor Regulation Number 32 of 2022 concerning Yogyakarta City Waste Management Masterplan for 2022 – 2031.

Technical Aspects

Waste volume management at Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan is planned to be able to accommodate 10 tons of waste per day, based on data from the Yogyakarta City Environmental Service. Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan manages 941.15 kg/day, meaning this amount is >80% of the planned capacity. Compost production at Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan is 190.65 Kg/Day, which means 70 – 99% of organic waste is processed into compost. Organic waste at Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan consists of slurry, leaf material and maggot feed. Furthermore, the indicator for the residual volume of waste to the landfill is 2,242,582.00 of the total segregated waste of 343,519.74, which indicates that there is processing of <40% of the total waste managed.

Institutional Aspects

Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan is managed by the Department/Village of the Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan area, the management of Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R is equipped with an organizational structure and functions actively.

Financing Aspects

The financing or financial aspects at Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan receive operational funding assistance from the government according to needs.

Aspects of Community Participation

The Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan customer community actively participates in membership. This active participation is demonstrated by awareness in sorting waste, even though not all people do this. Then, in terms of community contribution indicators, around 60 – 90% of people pay their contributions on time. Regulations related to Yogyakarta City Regional Waste Levy are regulated in Yogyakarta City Regional Regulation Number 21 of 2012 concerning Cleaning Levy. Payment of waste levies, especially for Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan, is IDR. 2,500 – Rp. 3,000 for private parties who collect waste using carts. The economic impact felt due to the existence of Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan is in the form of added value (value added) or the increase in value of goods whose benefits have been lost, then added value is given so that they have use value (Rantiana, 2020). The results of this study are in accordance with research conducted by (Oyebode *et al*, 2023) which states that the community does not carry out proper sorting. In addition, most correspondents prefer the inclusiveness of scavengers garbage collectors for various reasons ranging from laziness to not having time.

Waste Transport Patterns in Nitikan Village, Umbulharjo District, Yogyakarta Special Region

The waste collection process is carried out by collecting waste from waste sources using transport vehicles such as waste carts to the Transfer Depo or waste containers, then the collected waste will be transported by dump trucks or armroll trucks to Temporary Waste Disposal Sites3r Nitikan. Based on data from the Yogyakarta City Environmental Service, the amount of waste transported to the landfill was 181.37 tonnes/day. Garbage transportation in Nitikan Village, Umbulharjo District is carried out using several vehicles such as pick-ups, arm roll cars and dump trucks. Transportation using the *Stationary Container System* (SCS) system is influenced by the number of officers on duty during transportation (Putri et al, 2023). Transport vehicles with a lifting system (Hauled Containared System) have advantages over fixed system transport vehicles (Stationary Containared System) in terms of transport time per trip (Dzakwan et al, 2020).

Evaluation of Waste Transport Performance

The amount of waste entering Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan in 2023 is 2,700,947.75 with an average of 225,078.98 and a total of 7,399.86 kg/day. Then, the amount of residual waste was 6,144.06 kg/day and the total segregated waste was 941.15 kg/day. The amount of waste generation that enters Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan, if it is assumed that using 1 m ^{3} of waste is ¼ ton of waste, then the waste generation at Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan is 29,600 m^3 or around 81 m^3/day and the potential for waste accumulation is 38,429.5 liters / day.

Waste Management Performance Evaluation

Evaluation of waste management performance at Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan was carried out in several areas, namely regulatory, technical, institutional and community participation in accordance with research conditions. Each indicator has the same assessment level, namely 5.3 and 1. Then, the scores for each aspect will be added up into the categories Good (>19.0), Medium (≤19.0 – 9.50) and Bad (< 9.5) . Relative scores in waste management evaluations are used to determine areas in the good, medium or poor categories. The relative value is obtained from multiplying the field value and the weight. In the regulatory sector the relative value is 0.8 points, the technical sector is 7.8 points, the institutional sector is 4.6 points, the financial sector is 0.25 points and the community participation sector is 1.95 points and the total relative value is 15. 4. So, the evaluation of waste management performance is included as Medium.

Percentage of Waste Processing and Transport Indicators

The age level of respondents in this study was dominated by people aged 20-30 years. At this age, people tend to use their time more often to read and develop their intellectual abilities, this is in accordance with the results of research by Suwaryo and Yuwono (2017), which states that at the age of 20 - 35 years, individuals will play a more active role in society.

The waste management indicator shows a good category with a percentage of 82%. Public knowledge regarding waste management can be influenced by information obtained regarding how to process organic and inorganic waste (Dalimuthe and Nasution, 2022). Then, research conducted by (Agyustia, 2022) with a percentage related to waste knowledge of 80% shows that there is a need to increase information regarding household waste management through socialization.

The public knowledge indicator shows a good category with a percentage of 84%. This is in line with research conducted by (Nurin et al, 2021) where 90% of people actively participate in waste sorting, which means that people are aware of the impact that waste will have on the environment and health. The waste processing facilities indicator gets a percentage of 84% and is included in the good category. . The results of this research are in accordance with research conducted by (Niskiya and Zalmita, 2023) which states that in the waste management process which involves the utilization and use of facilities and infrastructure, the government provides facilities in the form of placing waste containers for organic and inorganic waste, moving and transporting waste and processing waste to processing. In the waste transportation indicator, the percentage value is 83% and is included in the good category. The results related to waste transportation indicators are in accordance with research conducted (Ningsih, 2020) which states that it is important to provide waste transportation facilities and services so that waste does not scatter and rot.

Incoming Waste, Managed and Nitikan Temporary Waste Disposal Sites3R Residue

Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan received 2,700,947.75 rubles with a monthly average of 225,078.98 kg/month. Total incoming waste is 225,078.98 kg/month, residual waste is 186,881.83 kg/month and total segregated waste is 28,626.64 kg/month. *The recovery factor* value is obtained from waste that can be composted and resold. Based on the calculation results, *the recovery factor* for Temporary Waste Disposal Sites 3R Nitikan is 16.9% of the total incoming waste for 1 year. *Recovery factor* can be used as a form of waste reduction as well as increasing the use value and economic value of waste (Hardiyani et al, 2022).

Analysis of the sustainability of waste transportation and management at Temporary Waste Disposal Sites3R Nitikan

Technical Dimensions

RAPWASTE analysis of the sustainability index in the technical dimension produces a value of 73.09 with a *Stress value* of 0.32. This value is included in the quite sustainable category because based on the index value it is between 50 -75. Meanwhile, the results of *the Leverage of Attributes* sustainability analysis in the technical dimension show that the waste management attribute value has the highest value, namely 15.18. The results of this research are in accordance with research conducted by (Giffari et al, 2023) which states that 72% of people have reduced the volume of waste.





Picture 1. RapWaste Graph and Leverage of Attributes on Technical Dimensions

Institutional Dimensions

RAPWASTE analysis of the sustainability index on the institutional dimension produces a value of 83.98 with a stress value of 0.29. This value is included in the very sustainable category because based on the index value it is between 75 - 100. Meanwhile, the results of the Leverage of Attributes analysis of sustainability in the institutional dimension show that the attribute value of the management institution is (12.72), the legality of the institution is (12.16) and management administration (6.79). In this institutional dimension, for management institutions, collaboration between institutions such as the private sector and environmentally concerned communities is needed which can encourage improvements in the quality of public services (Sukwika and Noviana, 2020). Apart from that, the opinion of Giffari et al (2023) states that laws and regulations have an important role in making waste management decisions. The existence of national laws and regional regulations that apply should be strictly implemented so that they can have an impact on the amount of waste produced and the irresponsible use of various single-use plastic products and the mixing of various types of waste (Latugan *et al,* 2024).





Picture 2. RapWaste Graph and Leverage of Attributes on Instituonal Dimensions

Dimensions of Community Participation

RAPWASTE analysis of the sustainability index on the community participation dimension produces a value of 100 with a stress value of 0.27. This value is included in the very sustainable category. Meanwhile, the results of the Leverage of Attributes analysis of sustainability in the institutional dimension show the attribute values for waste sorting by the community (12.91), retribution (12.03) and waste management (5.63). Lawrance et al (2019) stated that the main factor driving people to recycle is the internal motivation of the individual. So it is important to carry out programs to form and support this program.



Picture 3. RapWaste Graph and Leverage of Attributes on Dimensions of Community Participation

Economic Dimensions

RAPWASTE analysis of the sustainability index in the economic dimension produces a value of 73.73 with a stress value of 0.29. Leverage of Attributes sustainability in the dimensions of government assistance (10.99), economic improvement (10.98), and waste reduction (4.49). This waste reduction activity is included in a circular economy with the principles of reducing waste and pollution, preserving used products and materials as long as possible and regenerating natural systems (Otivriyanti et al, 2023).



Picture 4. RapWaste Graph and Leverage of Attributes on Economical Dimensions

Ecological Dimensions

RAPWASTE analysis of the sustainability index in the economic dimension produces a value of 64.39 with a stress value of 0.32. As for the results of the Leverage of Attributes analysis of sustainability in the dimensions of awareness of waste management (12.00), waste sorting (10.09), and waste reduction (5.73).





Picture 5. RapWaste Graph and Leverage of Attributes on Ecological Dimensions

CONCLUSION

The conclusion of this research is that performance in technical aspects consists of waste management, condition of facilities and infrastructure, type of management, condition of equipment, compost products and volume of waste residue. Waste volume management at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan is 941.15 kg/day. The type of management is the process of sorting and processing organic and inorganic waste with sufficient supporting equipment. Compost production at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan was 190.65 Kg/Day. Institutional aspects, 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan is managed by the Department/Village in the 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan area. In the aspect of community participation, some people are active in sorting waste and paying fees and there is an economic impact in the form of added economic value. Waste transportation management at 3R Temporary Waste Disposal Sites Nitikan is carried out using *a Stationary Container System* (SCS) and carried out in 2 cycles. The evaluation of waste management performance is moderate with a total relative value of 15.4 and each indicator in the regulatory sector has a relative value of 0.8 points, the technical sector is 7.8 points, the institutional sector is 4.6 points, and the financial sector is 0.25 points and the area of community participation is 1.95 points. The average sustainability status of waste sorting and management at Temporary Waste Disposal Sites3R Nitikan is 79.03 or very sustainable. The technical attribute index is 73.09, the institutional attribute is 83.98, the community participation attribute is 100, the economic attribute is 73.73 and the ecological attribute is 64.39.

REFERENCES

Amalia, S. (2020). Factors that hinder community participation in the waste bank program in the city of Yogyakartaa. *Journal of Administrative Science: Media for the Development of Administrative Science and Practice* , *17* (2), 306-323.

Aprizon, P.D. (2019). Juridical Review of the Existence of Environmental Regulations in the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia and the Constitution of the Fifth Republic of France. *Journal of Islamic Government and Politics* , 26-40.

Damanhuri, E. (2018). *Waste management.* Bandung: ITB Press.

Darwin, S. Z. (2022). Study of Optimizing the Waste Transport System in Subang District, Subang Regency. *Bandung Conference Series: Urban & Regional Planning* , 287-295.

Didik Supriyanto, MY (2021). Community-Based Waste Management Through Reduce, Reuse, Recycle (TEMPORARY WASTE DISPOSAL SITES3R) Waste Processing Sites in Purwojati Village, Ngoro District, Mojokerto Regency. *Journal of Affirmative Action* , 1-11.

Dzakwan, MA, Pramestyawati, TN, & Alala, PS (2020, September). Comparison of Garbage Transport with Compactor Trucks and Arm Roll Trucks. In *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Applied Science and Technology* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 419-426).

Faizal, EH (2020). Waste Management Strategy as an Effort to Improve Waste Management in the Era of Regional Autonomy. *PRINCIPLES* , 70-80.

Fitriyati Agustina, IZ (2020). Garbage Transport System In The Final Shelter City Of Samarinda With Hauled Container System (HCS). *International Journal Of Scientific & Technological Research* , 3197-3201.

Idris, IH, Narawida, T., Agustin, RD, Oktaviani, D., & Hidayat, MB (2023). RAPWASTE Analysis of the Sustainability Study of the Development of the Kajoetangan Heritage Tourism Area in Malang City. *Brawijaya J. Soc. Sci* , *2* (2).

I Gusti Gede Wahyu Dwi Pratama, IG (2019). Waste Transport Management in Kuta District, Badung Regency. *Civil Engineering Infrastructure Electrobic Scientific Journal* , 1-7.

Ihsanudin, MD (2022). *Spatial Analysis of Waste Management Sites.* Surakarta: Geography Study Program, Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta.

Irmayanti, Syahril, and Hidjrawan, Y. 2019. Optimizing Waste Transport Facilities in Blang Krueng Village, Aceh Besar. *Journal of Optimization* . 5(1) : 10-19.

La Ode Amaluddin, AP (2019). Community Behavior in Disposing of Household Waste in Wali Village, Tan Watopute District. *Journal of Geography Education Research* , 65-71.

Latugan, P., Carabacan, J.J., Bonicillo, G., Cayog, J., Eyawa, M.Q., Cairel, M.T. and Ngohayon, J.M., 2024. Analysis and Characterization of Municipal Solid Wastes Generated in Ifugao State University Potia Campus: A Basis For Planning of Waste Management. Nature Environment & Pollution Technology, 23(1). 10.46488/NEPT.2024.v23i01.024.

Lingga, ZG (2023). Issues Relating To Waste Transport System In Sekupang Batam City. *Sigma Teknika Journal* , 214-222.

Management, W. (2024, January 6). Retrieved from Waste Management: httemporary waste disposal sites://www.wm.com/us/en/support

Nabila Zahra Nur Aminah, AM (2021). *Waste Management in the Context of Sustainable Development (Waste Management in the Cintext of Waste Management).* Yogyakartaa: hmgp.go.

Nainggolan, RR (2019). Analysis of Willingness To Pay (WTP) Waste Management Levy in Cileunyi District, Bandung Regency. *Widya Praja Journal of Government Science* , 33-46.

Oyebode, O.J. and Abdulazeez, Z.O., 2023. Optimization of Supply Chain Network in Solid Waste Management Using a Hybrid Approach of Genetic Algorithm and Fuzzy Logic: A Case Study of Lagos State. Nature Environment & Pollution Technology, 22(4). 10.46488/NEPT.2023.v22i04.003.

Padmi, ED (2008). Waste Management Lecture Diktat. In Ministry of Education and Culture, *Waste Transportation.* Bandung: Environmental Engineering Study Program FTSL - ITB.

Pradiftha, MD (2020). Evaluation of Waste Transport Performance in Polewali District, Polewali Mandar Regency. *Thesis* .

Prima, G. (2018). Study of Waste Generation and Community Perceptions in Waste Management in Depok and Ngaglik Districts, Sleman Regency, Yogyakartaa.

Prof. Dr. Ahmad. (nd). Chapter Three- Collection of SW Solid and Hazardous Waste Management MSC. In Prof. Dr. Ahmad, *Collection Of Solid Wastes.* uomustansiriyah.edu.

Putri, IA, Rini, IDWS, & Hayati, RN (2023). Study on Optimizing Stationary Container System Waste Transport Routes Based on Geospatial Information Systems (GIS) in East Balikpapan District. *Journal of Environmental Pollution Control (JPPL)* , *5* (2), 168-176.

R. Dewi, FH (2020). Domestic Waste Processing System Using Used Drum Incinerators. *AVoER XII National Seminar 2020* (pp. 891 - 896). Palembang: Faculty of Engineering, Barwaijaya University.

Rizki Aziz, TI (2019). West Pasaman Regency Waste Management System Development Scenario with a Waste Processing Scale Approach at Regional and City Levels. *Serambi Engineering Journal* , 444-450.

Sukwika, T., & Noviana, L. (2020). Sustainability status of integrated waste management at TEMPORARY WASTE DISPOSAL SITEST-Bantargebang, Bekasi: Using RapWaste with R statistics. *Journal of Environmental Science* , *18* (1), 107-118.

Suparmi, RF (2022). Jambi City Waste Transport System. *Jambura Health and Sport Journal* , 127-138.